# Lowlands and uplands: crosspollinating ideas



**Peatland Programme** 

© Tom Barrett, Broads Authority



## **Upland/Lowland?**

#### October 2023

Iain Diack, Senior Specialist - Wetlands

www.gov.uk/natural-england

# What we call peatlands and things associated with them. Does it matter?

- Upland and lowland
- Fen and bog
- Minerotrophic and ombrotrophic
- Geogenous and ombrogenous
- Swamp and fen
- Carr and wet woodland

## A few questions to consider....

- What do we mean by upland and lowland peatlands? How common is this view/dichotomy of peatlands? UK, Europe, global....?
- What do we perceive as the differences between them?
- Are the perceived differences real? Evidence?
- Are they ecological/hydrological or are they more defined by ownership/land use? Or both?
- Where do the answers to these questions take you?
- Does it matter? How? Why?
- Is the persistence of the divisions between 'upland' and 'lowland' activity actually unhelpful, and masks bigger differences between mire types and their different needs?













#### Fort Bog, New Forest





## **Upland?**

- Above 250m?
- Above line of enclosure?
- Abiotic reasoning?
- Biology?
- We commonly make assumptions based on personal or group experience and received information.
- Often unsupported by wider or detailed consideration.

#### Some wise words

Arthur Tansley (1939)

- Eschewed the word 'moor' to describe peatlands preferring 'moss' or 'bog' (considering these synonymous).
- He stated that "The plant communities which form and inhabit wet acid peat have often been divided into 'lowland' and 'upland' but they are more naturally classified as valley bog, raised bog and blanket bog – names which refer to real differences in habitat, structure and mode of development".

Tansley, A.G. (1939). *The British Islands and their Vegetation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

## **Potential problems/issues**



- Failure to recognise what you're looking at or dealing with
  - Management/restoration doesn't work
  - Money/resource wasted
  - Management /restoration causes damage
  - Opportunities missed
  - Inappropriate targets set, e.g. SSSI Common Standards Monitoring

## **Raised bogs**

- 'Lowland' raised bogs raised bogs do not suddenly stop developing over 250m or beyond line of enclosure.
- The epithet 'lowland' has meant these sites are routinely mapped as blanket bog, because they're 'upland'.
- Leads to under-recording of highly threatened ecosystem
- Leads to inappropriate targets for condition monitoring lower thresholds for 'passing'.
- Leads to inappropriate restoration plans/ techniques



# M21 Sphagnum papillosum-bog asphodel community

- Characteristic community of acidic low-nutrient valley mires
- Often groundwater-fed but decreasing dependence further north
- Described as a lowland southern mire vegetation type
- Actually occurs across UK with many examples in Cumbria, Scotland etc



17



*Tomentypnum nitens – very severe decline, now very rare England* 

*Hamatocaulis vernicosus* Sch 8; HD Annex II.

Characteristic of 'neutral' flush – Wales, western England – not really covered by NVC. pH 5-6, lowish conductivity.



#### Some more wise words



'Whereas every peatland is unique, peatlands worldwide share many characteristics. Too much emphasis on the 'unique' character of tropical (or other) peatlands can result in a danger of ignoring global knowledge and common sense.'

Hans Joosten (2021) in *Global Guidelines for Peatland Rewetting and Restoration.* Ramsar Technical Report No. 11

# Water source categories of wetlands based broadly on the main reasons why they are 'wet'.

|                               | Telluric water important<br>(minerotrophic)       | Maintained by precipitation<br>(meteoric water) |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Maintained primarily by high  | SOLIGENOUS [FENS] (e.g.                           | OMBROGENOUS                                     |  |  |  |  |
| rates of water supply (often  | seepages, flushes, soakways,                      | [Sloping or Hill BOG]                           |  |  |  |  |
| sloping)                      | water tracks)                                     | 'blanket bog' <i>p.p.</i>                       |  |  |  |  |
| Water level maintained partly | RHEO-TOPOGENOUS                                   | OMBROGENOUS                                     |  |  |  |  |
| by impeded drainage (basins,  | (significant lateral water flow)                  | [Topogenous BOG]                                |  |  |  |  |
| floodplains <i>etc</i> .)     | TOPOGENOUS [FENS]                                 | 'raised bog' <i>p.p.</i>                        |  |  |  |  |
|                               | STAGNO-TOPOGENOUS<br>(limited lateral water flow) | 'buoyant bog' <i>p.p.</i>                       |  |  |  |  |

Wheeler B.D., Shaw S.C. & Tanner K. (2009). A wetland framework for impact assessment at statutory sites in England and Wales.

#### The Framework of Wetland Habitats

| Wetland<br>Landscape<br>Type | Hillslo | nei                   | Valley-<br>head | VH<br>trough<br>basin | / Basin               | Lake-<br>side | Trough              | Floo<br>plair              |       | Coastal Plateau-<br>Plain Plain |   |    |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---|----|
| Base<br>Richness             |         | Highly acid<br>(<4.0) |                 |                       | Acidic<br>(4.0 – 5.5) |               |                     | Sub-neutral<br>(5.5 – 6.5) |       | Base-rich<br>(>6.5)             |   |    |
| Fertility                    |         | OI                    | igotrop         | ohic                  | Meso                  | trophic       | Eutrophic Hypertrop |                            | ophic |                                 |   |    |
| WETMEC                       |         | 1                     | 2               | 3                     | 4                     | 5             | 6                   | 7                          | 8     | g                               | ) | 10 |
| WETMEC -                     |         | 11                    | 12              | 13                    | 14                    | 15            | 16                  | 17                         | 18    | 3 19                            | 9 | 20 |
| Management Un-<br>managed    |         |                       | inter<br>azed   | Winte<br>Mown         | Summer<br>Grazed      |               | Summer<br>Mown      |                            | Burnt |                                 |   |    |

#### WETMEC 18: PERCOLATION TROUGHS and WETMEC 19: FLOW TRACKS

#### Peat filled Valleyhead Percolation Trough and Flow Track

(e.g. Birk Bank Moss)

- significant inputs from rain-generated run-off and precipitation
- importance of groundwater outflow uncertain, but probably small, either because of limited supply from a minor aquifer, or because of top-layer aquitards
- exotelmic stream inflow may produce some lateral recharge of flanking mire, especially during flooding episodes, but water course largely acts as a drain
- flow through trough may be focussed into a series of small subsidiary runnels, soakways and water tracks (not illustrated) or occurs by lateral percolation through loose surface peat and vegetation
- shallow gradient helps retain water
- some valleyhead percolation troughs are former lake basins which have developed into troughs by accumulation of peat up to and above the lip of the original basin





## **Basis for peatland restoration**

- Restoration of natural function
- Understand site development and undamaged state
  - Stratigraphy
  - Water supplies GW/SW
  - Historic records
  - Similar local undamaged sites
- Landscape situation
- Hydrological inputs
- Site modifications and pressures
- Immovable constraints
- Know your peatland! Don't let *where* it is blind you to *what* it is.



#### In partnership with:









| Historic |
|----------|
| England  |



Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



Supported by:









IUCN | National Committee United Kingdom

Sponsored by:

Peatland Programme

© Tom Barrett, Broads Authority